

224

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.29088 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.07.2021

Rakesh @ Patwari

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that since the petitioner is in long custody of 02 years, 01 month and 26 days and majority of the prosecution witnesses have not supported the prosecution version and also in view of the fact that only the statement of the Investigating Officer is yet to be recorded, it is submitted that the this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Counsel for the State, on the basis of the Custody Certificate, has not disputed the factual position.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, the trial Court as well as the Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh, are directed to ensure that the prosecution evidence is concluded within a period of 02 months from today and thereafter, after affording the opportunity of leading defence evidence, the trial be concluded preferably within a period of 06 months thereafter.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

30.07.2021

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No