

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.119

CRWP No.6815 of 2021

Date of Decision: 23rd July, 2021.

Pooja & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition, the petitioners have approached this Court for seeking the relief of the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-7) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. S.S.Pannu, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the

respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice on behalf of these respondents.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioners, i.e Annexure P-7.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, is hereby directed to look into the said representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-7) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is further clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any proceedings already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated by the competent authority/person on account of their said marriage and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

23.07.2021
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No