

CRWP No. 6780 of 2021

Date of Decision: 23.07.2021

Mehakpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Tung, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Nand Lal Jindal, Advocate, for respondent no. 4.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners do not wish to pursue this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with it to be noticed that even before notice of motion has been issued, Mr. Jindal appears for respondent no. 4, i.e. the father of petitioner no. 1 and has submitted that the said petitioner is actually below the age of 18 years, which Mr. Tung however refutes.

No comment is made thereupon but of course it is to be noticed by this court that protection of life is a basic fundamental right enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India and such protection of life will obviously be ensured by respondents no. 2 and 3; however, as regards liberty, naturally it would be ensured as per law.

July 23, 2021

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No.