

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CRM-M-48845-2018(O&M)
Decided on : 08.07.2021**

ASHISH SANGWAN

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Pardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. B.S. Rana, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for the complainant-respondent.

Ms. Ranjana Shahi, Addl. AG Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

CRM-17405-2021

The instant application has been filed for preponing the date of hearing in the main case to an early date of hearing.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed with no objection from the counsel opposite.

Main case i.e., CRM-M-48845-2018 is taken on board today itself.

CRM-M-48845-2018

The instant petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for

quashing of FIR No. 91, dated 05.10.2016, under Sections 66(A) [later on changed to Section 67] of the Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 354(D) of the IPC registered at Women Police Station, District Ambala and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to order dated 19.04.2021, the parties have got their respective statements recorded before the Court below, wherein they have admitted to the factum of compromise having been arrived at between the parties.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC Ambala in pursuance to the direction of this Court. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will. The trial Court has annexed the copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

Learned Senior counsel for the complainant-respondent has also not disputed the factum of compromise having been arrived at between the parties. He submits that the marriage of the parties stands dissolved.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the Ld. JMIC, Ambala and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 08, 2020

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*