

**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28764-2021

Date of Decision: 09.09.2021

Lovejit Singh@ Love @ Lovejeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 288 dated 04.12.2018 under Sections 379 (B) (2) of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 offence under Section 411, 473 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 added later on registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.
3. The FIR was registered at the instance of Gurdial Singh. He stated on 04.12.2018, he along with Gursahib Singh were going to village Dehun from Chandigarh on a car bearing registration No. PB02-DQ-7174, at about 10 PM near the canal of the village Nangal, their car was stopped by another car. Four

complainant out of the car and took out Rs. 10,000/- from each complainant and Gursahib Singh and they took away the car. During the investigation, four accused were apprehended. Recoveries were made from them. Pargat Singh @ Paga made a disclosure statement naming the petitioner. On the basis of the statement petitioner was nominated and arrested. No recovery was made from the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 21.03.2021, as he was arrested in another case. She further submits that all the main four accused were granted bail by the trial Court.

5. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She submits that petitioner is involved in four more cases.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner's name surfaced in disclosure statement. No recovery was made from his custody and the fact that the co-accused have been granted bail, petitioner is granted bail on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petition is allowed.

8. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

09.09.2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No