

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30699-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.08.2021

Baldev Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Harsimrat Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This is fourth petition for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in a criminal case arising from FIR No. 15, dated 06.12.2017, registered under Section 21 & 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 18 & 25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 added later on), at Police Station S.T.F., Phase 4, S.A.S.Nagar.

On 10.09.2020, while dismissing the third petition for grant of bail to the petitioner, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Application is allowed. Taken on Board for final hearing.

This is 3rd petition for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in a criminal case

arising from FIR No.15, dated 06.12.2017, registered under Section 21/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 18/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 added later on), at Police Station S.T.F., Phase 4, Mohali.

As per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner has been convicted for trading in narcotics . He is also stated to be running a racket from the prison.

The petitioner's wife was also caught with 260 grams heroin. As per custody certificate, apart from the conviction, additionally there are 3 criminal cases pending against the petitioner under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.”

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, although, made a sincere attempt, however, failed to draw the attention of the Court to any fresh or new ground for the grant of bail.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

10.08.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE