

216

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CRM-M-29079-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 29<sup>th</sup> JULY, 2021

PARDEEP AND ANOTHER

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] This is a petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.485, dated 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2020, under Section 379-A read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

[3] As per FIR, on 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2020 a bag containing ₹50,000/- was snatched from the complainant Randhir Singh. The petitioners were arrested in FIR No. 3, dated 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2021, under Sections 379, 411, 420 and 483 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station City Bhiwani. During investigation, petitioners' statements were recorded to the effect that they were involved in the incident for which FIR No. 485, dated 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2020 was registered.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not been identified by the complainant. No recovery is made from

the petitioners. The investigation is complete.

[5] Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She submits that petitioners have criminal antecedents and are involved in nine more FIRs.

[6] Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the facts in totality, especially that there is no recovery made from the petitioners; they were not identified by the complainant; the investigation is complete and the conclusion of trial is likely to take time, petitioners are granted bail. The bail would be subject to furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[7] The petition is allowed.

[8] It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]**  
**JUDGE**

**29<sup>th</sup> JULY, 2021**  
sham

- |                               |   |         |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No  |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes /No |