

CRM-M-29412-2021

Date of Decision: 19.8.2021

Jagjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The petitioner has approached this Court for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.47 dated 24.5.2021 under Sections 376, 452 IPC and Section 8 of POCSO Act, 2012 registered at Police Station Ghuman, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as the accused named in the FIR is Akashdeep @ Akash, whereas the present petitioner is Jagjit Singh. Hence, his implication in the FIR is totally mis-concived.

Learned State counsel was directed to filed status report.

Status report by way of an affidavit of Harinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Special Branch Batala, Additional Charge of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Shri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala dated 7.8.2021 is taken on record.

It has been mentioned in the status report that Akashdeep @ Akash and Jagjit Singh are the names of same person. In para No.7 of the

status report, it is found mentioned that the proceedings under Sections 82 and 83 Cr.P.C. have been initiated against the petitioner.

In view of the such development, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court concerned for redressal of his grievance and appear before the same. If the petitioner appears before the Court concerned upto 23.8.2021, then he would be protected from being arrested for 7 days from the date of his appearance and the Court concerned would take an appropriate decision on his application.

Needless to say that in case the petitioner is not appearing before the Court concerned within said period, then the benefit of this order will not be available to him.

Disposed of in above terms.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

19.8.2021
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No