

CR-1348-2021

Date of decision: 19.08.2021

Bal Krishan

.....Petitioner

versus

PGIMER and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**Present:** Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shashank Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents-PGIMER, Chandigarh.**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The present Civil Revision by aid of Article 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed by then plaintiff, now revisionist, Bal Krishan, against the respondents, impugning orders dated 15.07.2021 (Annexure P-8), whereby, the Court below, where the suit of the plaintiff is pending, has failed to adjudicate on the stay application filed under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC.

Heard counsel for both the sides and perused the records.

The primary grievance of the petitioner is non-adjudication of his application for injunction filed in his suit. It would suffice the ends of justice, if the respondents are directed that they will file their reply/documents, if any, in the Civil Suit to the response of application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC within a period of 07 days before the learned Trial Court.

Upon doing so, the learned Trial Court shall hear and dispose off the stay application between the parties within a period of seven days of the filing of the reply.

The Trial Court to ensure due compliance.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

19.08.2021

Neha

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No