

229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12108 of 2020

Date of decision: February 25, 2021

Manjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Mehar, Advocate for
Ms. Satpreet Grewal Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count the daily wage service of the husband of the petitioner, namely Mangal Singh (since deceased) rendered before regularization, as qualifying service, for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

In response to notice of motion issued by this Court, short reply by way of affidavit dated 24.10.2020 along with speaking order (R-1) has already been filed in the matter and relevant part thereof reads as under: -

“That while the order dated 09.11.2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP-12108 of 2020 filed by Smt. Manjit Kaur widow of deceased Constable Mangal Singh No.27/499 versus State Govt. of Punjab and others, a Demi official letter No.16029 dated 10.11.2020 issued by Deputy Advocate General Punjab, Chandigarh, Sh. Abhaypal Singh Gill and the directions issued by the office of Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh vide

his office letter No.289-23/2020/LA-2/3812, dated 03.12.2020, that the service rendered by constable Mangal Singh No.27/499 in the rank of SPO as daily wage service w.e.f. 09.11.1993 to 22.03.2007 has been counted as qualifying service entitled for pension based on the permission given by the Finance Department vide No.1/02/2017-1 ਫਿਯਾਟ/121/1, dated 24.01.2017 and letter No.11/166/16-5Home3/666, dated 30.01.2017 issued by the Punjab Government, Department of Home Affairs and Jail (Home-03 Branch) in content of orders issued by the Hon'ble High Court for CWP No.11802 of 2008 (Paramjit Kaur versus Punjab Government).”

Perusal of the relevant part extracted hereinabove clearly reveals that service rendered by the husband of the petitioner has been counted as qualifying service for pensionary benefits on the basis of permission granted by the Finance Department.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that her grievance has been redressed and no further directions are required to be issued in the matter.

Disposed off, accordingly.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

February 25, 2021
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No