

218.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28799-2021

Date of Decision: 12.10.2021

VED PARKASH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Parteek Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.200, dated 10.04.2021, registered under Sections 17, 6, 8 of the POCSO Act, Sections 9/10 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 and Sections 323, 406, 498-A of IPC (Section 8 of the POCSO Act was removed lateron) at Police Station Krishna Gate, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would pray for grant of regular bail to the petitioner by contending false implication as would be evident from the fact that the statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded in which she has resiled from her statement. The petitioner is in custody since 26.04.2021 and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the grant of regular bail referring to seriousness of the allegations.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 26.04.2021, the prosecutrix has resiled from her statement and the fact that trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

12.10.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No