

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

206(2)

CRMs-27974 & 27975-2021 in/and  
CRM-M-28517 of 2021  
Date of Decision : 27.10.2021

Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-M-28517 of 2021**

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the complainant as well as the victim are yet to be examined, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, and a direction be issued to the trial Court to examine the complainant as well as the victim as next two witnesses so that in case, the petitioner is found entitled for the grant of benefit of bail, he could pray for the grant of the same at the earliest.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage with a direction to the trial Court to examine the complainant as well as the victim as next two witnesses as expeditiously as possible.

CRMs-27974 & 27975-2021 in/and  
CRM-M-28517 of 2021

-2-

CRMs-27974 & 27975-2021

As prayed for, applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

October 27, 2021  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No