

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

**CRM-M-28187-2021
Decided on : 04.10.2021**

Haneef

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with the prayer to direct the respondents No. 2 to 4 to conduct fair and proper investigation in FIR No. 71 dated 09.06.2021 under Sections 365, 342 and 34 IPC and Section 25-54-59 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Pingawan, District Nuh-Mewat.

Learned State counsel has referred to the detailed reply filed by way of an affidavit. Paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 of the primary submissions of the said reply is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“2. That is it also worthwhile to mention here that during the course of investigation, a rough site plan of the place of incident was prepared and statements of witnesses were recorded u/s 161 Cr.P.C. on 09.06.2021, the complainant Haneef was got medico legally examined in CHC Punhana. The call data record call were obtained from the service provider on 12.09.2020. The record pertaining to vehicle No. DL-14-C-

0250 was taken into possession from RTO Office, Surajmal Vihar, New Delhi through memo of recovery. The vehicle was found financed from Axis Bank B-7, Ashoka Niketan, Delhi 92. The details of ownership of the vehicle were obtained and the vehicle was found to be owned by Malik M. No. 9555609955 and Munesh Malock street no. 8 Sangam Vihar North Delhi the record pertaining to A/C No. 919010010904859 of the vehicle owner was also obtained. The rough site plan of the place where the four accused have kept the complainant Hanif S/o Ishab r/o Papra was also prepared on 10.06.2021. The house owner namely Ikbar S/o Nasir Hussain r/o Sakoorpur 286, D Block, Delhi was also joined investigation and he has disclosed that accused Jafar s/o Gulab Nabbi r/o Sangam Vihar Delhi sent Rs.3000/- pay phone of her daughter as rent of the house, therefore, raid was conducted to arrest the accused Jafar, who was not found present at his house. However, his brother Juber was joined in the investigation and he contended that his brother Jafar is away from the house from last many days.

3. That the local police has making strenuous efforts to locate the accused involved in the commission of crime.

4. That the investigation of the present case is bring conducted fairly, properly and impartially.”

A perusal of the same would show that the investigation is in progress and the police officials are investigating the case fairly and impartially.

Learned State counsel has also submitted that the State would make every endeavour to arrest the accused person and to proceed in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the abovesaid reply and also the aforesaid

facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that the police is investigating the matter in accordance with law and thus, no further orders are called for in the present case.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

04.10.2021
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No