

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224/2

**CRM-M-40440 of 2020
Date of Decision: 22.02.2021**

Jaspreet Singh @ Jassu and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

2)

CRM-M-40470 of 2020

Gurwinder Singh @ Rinku and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-40440 of 2020.

Mr. Janak Singh Bhinder, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-40470 of 2020.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

These petitions have been filed seeking quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondents no.2 and 3, of General Diary no.33 dated 10.06.2020, registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections

323 and 341 of the IPC, read with Section 34 thereof, as a cross version to the contents of FIR no.94 dated 09.06.2020 (alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 of the IPC, read with Section 34 thereof). A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order of this court dated 04.12.2020, a report dated 22.01.2021, of the learned JMIC, Sangrur, is on record, stating to the effect that the statements of the parties were recorded before that court in respect of the compromise reached between them.

(Though the learned Magistrate has only given the reference of FIR no.94 dated 09.06.2020 and not of the cross version thereto as is subject of CRM-M-40440 of 2020; however, the statement of the investigating officer, i.e. Gurmail Singh, has also been annexed alongwith the report of the JMIC, stating to the effect that FIR no.94 dated 09.06.2020 and DDR no.33 dated 10.06.2020, were both lodged at Police Station Longowal as cross cases, and that the compromise had in fact been effected between the parties to the dispute, all of whom were known to the IO).

Today also, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in each case (for the complainant in the other case) submit that the matter has been compromised between the parties qua the allegations made in the FIR as also the cross-version thereto and that neither of them have any objection to both being quashed.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR no.94 dated 09.06.2020, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 of the IPC, read with Section 34 thereof, registered at

Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur, as also cross version recorded in General Diary no.33 dated 10.06.2020, alleging therein commission of offences punishable under Sections 323 and 341 of the IPC, read with Section 34 thereof, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, are hereby quashed.

A photocopy of this order be also placed on the file of the other connected case.

February 22, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No