

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29452-2021

Date of decision : 11.10.2021

Rakesh @ Pampu

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Manvender Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the complainant.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

[The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.]

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the petition for grant of regular bail at this stage, however, a direction be issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

The trial Court is directed to make earnest efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously by giving short adjournments. Learned State counsel and the counsel for the complainant shall cause the appearance of remaining prosecution witnesses in the Court on each and every date of hearing so fixed. Of course, accused shall not seek any adjournment for cross examination of PWs, if at all the case is to be adjourned, then the trial Court shall pass a detailed order in that regard, giving reasons for adjourning the case. The entire exercise be preferably completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order in the trial Court.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

11.10.2021

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No