

COCF No.1427 of 2021

Date of Decision : 16.08.2021

Aarti Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Shinder Kaur and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Joy Preet Meelu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentionally and willfully disobeying order (Annexure P-4) dated 23.02.2021 in CWP No.4166 of 2021.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-4) dated 23.02.2021, CWP No.4166 of 2021 was disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to decide the claim made in legal notice dated 15.10.2020 in accordance with law within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and on failure of the respondents to decide the claim made in the legal notice, the instant petition was filed but now order dated 13.08.2021 has been passed by the respondents, deciding the claim made in the legal notice, therefore, the petitioner is

not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 13.08.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position as noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondents.

5. Accordingly, the contempt petition is *disposed of* as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 13.08.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

16.08.2021

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>