

CRM-M-28253-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.07.2021

(Through Video Conferencing)

Rekha and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 4 to take strict legal as well as departmental action against respondents No.5 and 6 and to look into the complaint/representation dated 06.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural).

Learned counsel submits that even though a representation dated 06.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) was made to respondent No.2 leveling specific allegations against respondents No.5 and 6 attracting the commission of cognizable offences, however the official respondents had failed to initiate any action under the provisions of law. It has been thus submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on their representation dated 06.06.2021 (Annexure P-1).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioners, the respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 06.06.2021 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioners shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 23, 2021
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No