

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-6678-2021

Date of Decision : July 20, 2021

KOMAL AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner No.1 is a girl about 19 years of age and of marriageable age. So far as the petitioner No.2 is concerned, he is 20 years and 9 months and short of 3 months from attaining the age of marriage. He submitted that both the petitioners intend to marry each other but they did not marry till now in view of the fact that petitioner No.2 had not attained the age of marriage as yet but they have an imminent threat from the private respondents No. 4 to 8 because they are opposed to the marriage. He submitted that the petitioners have prayed for grant of protection for life so that they may not be killed by the private respondents. He has referred to the Aadhaar cards of the petitioners Annexures P-1 and P-2 and also the representation Annexure P-3 which has been given to the Superintendent of Police, Sonipat by way of a registered post and the receipts are pasted on the representation Annexure P-3 at page No.16.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1, 2 and 3 only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State. Copy supplied. He submits that he has no objection in case the representation of the petitioners which has been given by way of registered post is taken into consideration by the police authorities and in case if so required further action be taken in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The present petition pertains only to the grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. The case of the petitioners is that petitioner No.2 is short of 3 months of attaining the age of marriage and both the petitioners have not married with each other in view of the fact the petitioner No.2 has not attained the age of the marriage but there is an imminent threat by the private respondents because they are opposed to the marriage.

Since the scope of the present petition is only confined to the grant of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, it is, therefore, directed that respondent No.2 shall look into the representation Annexure P-3 filed by the petitioners and assess the threat perception of the petitioners and in case so required will ensure the protection of the life of the petitioners and to take further action in accordance with law.

Let the needful be done within a period of one week from today.

The present petition stands disposed of.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

July 20, 2021
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No