

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11957 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:05.02.2021

Nancy Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. R.K.Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.AG., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Petitioner is aggrieved of not being allowed to continue on the post of Extension Lecturer/ Assistant Professor in the discipline of Computer Science with respondent no.3.

Petitioner had earlier approached this Court by way of CWP No. 27138 of 2019 which was disposed of on 20.09.2019 while directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's claim for adjustment on the post of Extension Lecturer under policy dated 13.07.2018 and 28.01.2019, regarding displaced extension lecturers.

Petitioner's claim was considered and rejected vide impugned order dated 09.11.2019, Annexure P-9. It is specifically observed that as petitioner did not possess the prescribed minimum educational qualification of NET/Ph.D, at the time of initial engagement as Extension Lecturer, no benefit could be afforded to her.

Aggrieved therefrom, present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to deny that

controversy sought to be raised in this writ petition is squarely covered by decision dated 22.09.2020 of a Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 6968 of 2020, titled 'Suman Devi Vs State of Haryana and others' as well as decision dated 06.08.2020 in LPA No. 395 of 2020 titled 'Pulkit Arya and others Vs. State of Haryana and others'. In Suman Devi's case, it is specifically held that an ineligible extension lecturer does not have any right to continue on the post in question and that the State is well within its power to ignore the ineligible candidates. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to distinguish the said decisions to warrant any interference in the petitioner's favour.

Writ petition is accordingly dismissed with no order as to cost.

05.02.2021
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.