

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRWP-6650-2021

Date of Decision : 23.11.2021

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral

The present petition has been filed seeking protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 18.

On the basis of the averments made in the petition, the State was requested to examine the representation dated 07.08.2020 (Annexure P/2) filed by the petitioner and respond to the same.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 27.08.2021, a short reply was filed by the respondent-State but, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

As per the short reply filed on behalf of the respondent-State, it has come on record that an enquiry into the allegations as alleged by the petitioner was conducted and as per the said enquiry, only offence under

Sections 323 and 34 of the IPC, which is a non-cognizable offence, is made out and only a DDR was recorded and the allegation that the incident took place in the shop of the petitioner was not made out. Further, in Para-6 of the reply, it has been mentioned that the petitioner has already compromised his dispute with the private respondents, which was brought to the notice of the police authorities, which request of the petitioner was accepted.

Today, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut these contentions. On the last date of hearing also, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts recorded hereinbefore, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of as such.

November 23, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No