

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206(1)

CRM-M-27983 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.10.2021

Vijay @ Golu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sankalp, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CRM-M-27983 of 2021

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the complainant as well as the victim are yet to be examined, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, and a direction be issued to the trial Court to examine the complainant as well as the victim as next two witnesses so that in case, the petitioner is found entitled for the grant of benefit of bail, he could pray for the grant of the same at the earliest.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage with a direction to the trial Court to examine the complainant as well as the victim as next two witnesses as expeditiously as possible.

CRM-21036-2021

As prayed for, application is dismissed as withdrawn.

October 27, 2021

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

aarti

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No