

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-23524 of 2020
Date of Decision : 07.09.2021

Amarjeet

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aakash Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.294 dated 12.06.2017 registered under Sections 302, 120-B and 34 of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has wrongly been roped in the present FIR and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is behind the bars for the last approximately 04 years and 02 months and, therefore, the petitioner be granted the benefit of regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender, against whom, there are five other FIRs registered and that too involving serious allegations. Learned State counsel further submits that in

the present case, firearm has been recovered from the petitioner and the bullet, which has been recovered from the body of the deceased, matches with the said firearm.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

From the facts narrated hereinbefore, it becomes clear that the allegations alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature and as per the evidence which has already come on record during the trial, the bullet recovered from the body of the deceased matches with the firearm recovered from the petitioner. Not only this, prima facie, the petitioner is a habitual offender as there are other five FIRs registered against him involving serious allegations.

Further in the present case, material witnesses are yet to be examined and keeping in view the antecedents of the petitioner, prima facie, in case, the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he is likely to influence the witnesses and trial, which will not be in public interest. Hence, no ground is made out to allow the present petition seeking regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

September 07, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No