

211-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27489-2021
Date of decision-25.10.2021

Ajay Singh ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Saksham Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.66 dated 08.04.2021 under Sections 324, 325, 323, 506, 148 and 149 Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana. The petitioner apprehend his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 16.07.2021 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

*“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.66 dated 08.04.2021 under Sections 324,325,323,506,148,149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana & District Police Commssionerate Ludhiana.
Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has since been compromised with the complainant. A copy of compromise deed (P.6) is annexed herewith as*

Annexure P.6.

Notice of motion for 25.10.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer. He further submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Surjit Kumar does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant does not dispute the factum of compromise.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 16.07.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

25.10.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No