

CRWP-6545-2021 (O&M)

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRWP-6545-2021 (O&M).
Decided on: July 15, 2021.**

Komal and another

.. Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.Baljeet Nain, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.**

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of writ of *mandamus* seeking directions to official respondent Nos.2 and 3 for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondent Nos.4 and 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are of marriageable age. Petitioner No.1 (girl) is 22 years of age whereas petitioner No.2 (boy) is 23 years of age. He has submitted that petitioner No.1 was earlier married with respondent No.4 and

CRWP-6545-2021 (O&M)

at that point of time petitioner No.4 was minor and the marriage was performed forcibly against her wishes and thereafter, petitioner No.1 was being harassed by respondent No.4 and therefore, petitioner No.1 was forced to leave him and she started living with petitioner No.2 and also got shelter from him. He has submitted that now respondent No.4 and the other private respondents are threatening to kill the petitioners in this regard. He has submitted that the petitioners are major and they can live at a place of their own choice and therefore, they have prayed for protection of their lives. He has submitted that petitioners have also sent representation dated 13.7.2021 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kaithal and have also attached courier receipt but no action has been taken on the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents and states that he has no objection in case the representation of the petitioner is directed to be considered by the concerned authorities in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the allegations made by the petitioners, petitioner No.1 was married when she was minor and now she is facing threats at the hands of private respondents along with petitioner No.2 with whom she is living and has sought shelter. The scope of the present petition is confined only to the extent of grant of protection of life of the petitioners and it does

CRWP-6545-2021 (O&M)

not in any way reflect anything on the inter se relationship between the petitioners or relationship of petitioner no.1 with respondent No.4.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 13.7.2021 (Annexure P-3) and to assess the threat perception of the petitioners and if so required to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of two weeks after the receipt of the copy of this order.

July 15, 2021.	(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
raj arora	JUDGE
Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No