

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12986-2021

Date of decision : 19.07.2021

Soni Kaur and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate,
for Ms. Kriteka Sheokand, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant consequential benefits including seniority to the petitioners on the doctrine of relation back from the date the juniors to them in merit have actually been granted appointments prior to the petitioners despite their merit being lower than the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that at this stage, his clients would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 09.04.2021 (P-7) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG,

Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 09.04.2021 (P-7), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of four months from today.

19.07.2021*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No