

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

106)

CRM-M-23160 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.04.2021

Ravi Gupta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioners.
Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate, for respondents no.7 to 9.
Mr. Rishab Kumar Jain, Advocate, for respondent no.10.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 25.01.2021, the following order had been passed:-

“Case heard by video conference.

With the learned counsel for the respondents having submitted that the present petition has in fact been rendered infuctuous, with the petitioner having been admitted to bail by this court and this not being a petition seeking quashing of the FIR, learned counsel for the petitioner has, however, sought time on account of the fact that he has just recovered from Covid-19.

Adjourned to 09.02.2021.”

Thereafter, for the second date in succession, counsel for the petitioner has not come present.

Learned counsel for the State has again informed this court that an FIR having been registered against the petitioner, he has since been admitted to bail.

Obviously, therefore the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this petition, which is consequently dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

05.04.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No