

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**CWP No.13231 of 2021
Date of Order:26.07.2021**

Pawan Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana.

**

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

On 22.07.2021 the following order was passed:-

“The petitioners claim that they have been granted permits by the State of Rajasthan on inter-state routes. The original permits lapsed. However, on the applications, the permits were renewed by the competent authority in the State of Rajasthan. The permits have been sent to the State of Haryana for counter signatures as required under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. The petitioners allege that the respondents are, without any justifiable reasons, sitting on the files. Notice of motion. On the request of the Court, Mr. Samarth Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and prays for some time to file the written statement. Adjourned to 26.07.2021. Written statement, if any, be filed on or before the date fixed with a copy, in advance, to learned counsel for the petitioners. No further request for an adjournment shall be entertained.”

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana, on instructions from Mr. Hira Singh, HPS, Secretary, RTA, Sirsa, has informed the Court that the petitioners are required to fulfill certain formalities like taking “No Objection Certificate” from the concerned Excise and Taxation Department with regard to payment of Passenger and Road Tax before 01.04.2017. The petitioners are also required to produce the “No Objection Certificate” with regard to deposit of the fee of the bus stand. He submits that the minute petitioners complete the required formalities, the concerned authority shall have no objection to put their counter signatures as required under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no further order is required to be passed. However, if the petitioners comply with the formalities, respondent No.3 shall be required to pass an order in accordance with law within three days thereafter.

Disposed of accordingly.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

July 26, 2021
nt/parveen

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether reportable : YES/NO