

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 27220 of 2021
Date of Decision: 16.07.2021

Kulveer Singh

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Ms. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents to protect life and personal liberty from being invaded at the hands of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was engaged with respondent No.4 who has done IELTS. Before marriage, the private respondents asked that since respondent No.4 has done IELTS and has good band and they can go abroad, therefore, petitioner should contribute towards expenses for sending her abroad. On being insisted by private respondents, the petitioner sold his land measuring 14

Kanals 9 Marlas and filed the case of respondent No.4 for going abroad. The marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.4 on 06.04.2021 according to Anand Karaj and the same was registered with the Sub Registrar, Malout. Petitioner has transferred an amount of Rs.5,97,000/- in the account of respondent No.4, an amount of Rs.6,25,000/- in the account of his mother-in-law (respondent No.5) and an amount of Rs.50,000/- was paid in cash to the travel agent. After the marriage, respondent No.4 started behaving indifferently. She was found talking with someone on mobile in odd hours. Petitioner also found that respondent No.4 in order to go abroad showed herself to be unmarried and the person with whom she was talking was also going abroad.

Precisely, for the grievance in question, petitioner firstly made representation to respondent No.3 on 15.05.2021, but no action was taken by respondent No.3, therefore, petitioner filed representation before respondent No.2 on 30.05.2021. Both the representations are attached with the petition as Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents, as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner without being influenced by any statement of fact, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

16.07.2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No