

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27234 of 2021

Date of Decision: 16.07.2021

Gurpreet Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This is a petition seeking direction to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 31.12.2020 made by the petitioner.

From the pleadings, it is forth coming that there is a litigation pending between the petitioner and respondent No. 6 arrayed in the petition. There is an interim order by this Court. A contempt petition has also been filed in this court for violation of the interim order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the basic grievance is that the petitioner is being called to the police station at the behest of respondent No. 6.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice submits that there is a complaint received from respondent No. 6. It is only in that context that the petitioner was called. The contention is that due notice would be issued to the petitioner for coming to the police station, if so required.

In view of the statement made, no further directions are called for.

The petition is dismissed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

16th July, 2021
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |