

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.1375 of 2021

Date of Decision : 06.08.2021

Janak Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Singh Dahiya, IAS and Another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms.Sitanshu Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the instant petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 19.04.2021 in CWP No.2491 of 2021.
3. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to comply with order dated 19.04.2021 in CWP No.2491 of 2021 but now order dated 13.07.2021, allowing the petitioner to rejoin service has been passed, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of, as such.
4. Learned State Counsel also contends that in view of compliance of orders of Hon'ble the Writ Court dated 19.04.2021 in

CWP No.2491 of 2021 in terms of order dated 19.04.2021 in CWP No.16512 of 2020, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondents.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and in view of compliance of order dated 19.04.2021 in CWP No.2491 of 2021, action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is not called for against the respondents.

6. Accordingly, contempt petition is *disposed of*, as such.

7. *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.08.2021

'Rajneesh/Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>