

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13799-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2021

Gurminder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Naveen Siwach, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner (respondent no.3's husband and respondent no.4's father) assails the correctness of two orders passed by the Lok Adalat (Annexures P-5 and P-6), while fixing maintenance pendente lite at the rate of Rs.15,000/- per month, with the consent of the parties.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the aforesaid orders have been passed after pressurizing the petitioner. It is not in dispute that respondent no.3 and 4 are the petitioner's wife and minor son, respectively. The petitioner has failed to place on record any material to prove the fact that Principal Judge, Family Court pressurized him. The orders have been passed by the Lok Adalat consisting of three members including the Presiding Judge and two Advocates.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also
disposed of.

29.09.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE