

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26943-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.07.2021

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.D.P.S.Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This is fourth petition for grant of bail to the petitioner pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.76 dated 01.04.2019 registered under Section 379 IPC and later on 411, 467, 468, 471, 473, 420 IPC at Police Station Kotwali Patiala, District Patiala.

On 16.07.2021, while dismissing the third petition the following order was passed by this Court:-

“This is third application filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail pending trial in FIR No. 76 dated 01.04.2019, registered under Sections 379 IPC (Sections 411, 467, 468, 471, 473, 420, 419 & 120-B IPC were added lateron) at Police Station Kotwali, Patiala, District Patiala.

Previous petition i.e. Criminal Misc. No. M-27451 of 2019 was dismissed on 05.07.2019 with following order:

“Counsel for the State of Punjab, on instructions from ASI Suba Singh, has submitted that as many as 55 stolen vehicles were recovered from the petitioner and he is a habitual offender involved in as many as 9

other criminal cases apart from the present case.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioner does not deserve to be granted the concession of bail.

Dismissed.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner continues to be in custody and the prosecution intends to examine 101 witnesses.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has pointed out that the petitioner is a habitual offender involved in as many as 30 such criminal cases.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for grant of regular bail.

Dismissed.”

On 02.12.2019, while dismissing second petition for grant of bail, it was noticed that as many as 55 stolen vehicles have been recovered from the petitioner.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to grant bail to the petitioner is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

22.07.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE