

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12791-2021

Date of decision : 15.07.2021

Milkha Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Manjit Singh Uppal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents especially respondent No.4 to regularize the services of the petitioner as Computer Operator/Samiti Clerk-cum-Computer Operator in pursuance of the Punjab Government Policy dated 18.03.2011 (P-4) and Instructions dated 17.11.2011 (P-5) with all consequential benefits.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, instead of pressing the present petition on merits, states that his client would be satisfied in case, representation dated 15.10.2020 (P-8) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab,

who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.4, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 15.10.2020 (P-8), in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of four months positively.

15.07.2021*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No