

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

CRM-M-27633-2021

Date of decision: 06.12.2021

Sandeep Singh and others	Versus	Petitioners
State of Haryana and another		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldeep Singh Sambi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.256, dated 16.06.2020, lodged under Sections 354-B, 354-D, 342 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 27.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it was on account of a marital discord between the parties that the FIR in question came to be registered, however, with the intervention of the respectables, the differences between them stand amicably resolved. Learned counsel further submits that one of the accused namely Parvinder Singh has since died.

Vide order dated 22.07.2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 09.08.2021 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise

arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Karnal, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed copies of the statements of the parties alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Karnal and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

06.12.2021

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No