

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236

(Through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M-26963-2021

Date of decision: 29.09.2021

Amit and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Dharam Pal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.203, dated 29.09.2019, lodged under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 376, 406, 498-A, 506 and 511 of the IPC (Sections 376 and 511 of the IPC were deleted lateron) registered at Women Police Station, Panipat, District Panipat and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/affidavit (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 17.08.2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 31.08.2021 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Panipat, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has

indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Panipat and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

29.09.2021

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No