

207.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26918-2021

Date of Decision: 27.09.2021

(Heard through VC)

SANDEEP KAMBOJ

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate, for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.55, dated 06.04.2021, registered under Sections 307, 377, 498-A, 406, 34 of IPC, at Police Station Vairoke, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that pursuant to the order dated 14.07.2021, the parties were directed to put in appearance before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the said mediation has been successful as the settlement/agreement dated 15.09.2021 has come into existence. Counsel would further contend that in view of the settlement, the anticipatory bail be allowed to the petitioner.

Appearance has been caused by Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate, on behalf of the complainant, who would contend that in case the petitioner herein does not comply with the terms of the settlement, he be at liberty to revive the proceedings under the said FIR in view of clause 9 of the said agreement.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the fact that the matter stands settled amicably, no useful purpose would be served in case the petitioner is not given protection. Therefore, the arrest of the petitioner, which was stayed vide order dated 14.07.2021, is confirmed subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the instant petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, the parties are bound by the terms as settled between themselves by the agreement dated 15.09.2021.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

27.09.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No