

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12617-2021

Date of decision : 14.07.2021

Royal Wines through its Partner Parminder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Jagmohan Bansal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. G.S. Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Anurag Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondents in not granting any relief to Liquor Vend owners for the days when the vends had to be closed either for the whole day or for part of the day.

During the course of arguments, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would restrict its claim only to those days when the vend was closed for half of the working hours or more.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ajay Jagga, Sr. Standing

Counsel accepts notice on behalf of U.T. Chandigarh and has very fairly stated that if the petitioner, now, makes a self-contained representation dealing with actual losses which they have suffered with supportive data, respondent No.2 shall consider the same and pass speaking order thereon within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In view of the abovesaid statement made by counsel for U.T. Chandigarh, the present writ petition stands disposed of on the above terms and if any benefit is to be granted to the petitioner, the same will be granted for the successor months.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

14.07.2021

Pawan

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**