

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 28897 of 2021
Date of Decision: 26.07.2021

Makhan Singh

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Madan Lal Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has prayed for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 to 4 for making arrangements of meeting of his grandson with him.

Learned counsel submits that his son Harjeet Singh was married to respondent No.5 and a son namely Navroj Singh took birth out of this wedlock on 22.07.2014. Harjeet Singh was murdered by the bouncers of a hotel and FIR No.1 was registered on 1.1.2020 in Police Station Ranjit Avenue which is still under investigation.

Learned counsel further submits that the owner of the hotel gave some offer to the concerned quarter and ultimately, respondent No.5 left the matrimonial house along with minor son and started living with her parents. She did not allow the petitioner to meet his minor grandson. Due to intervention of the relatives, a compromise was effected whereby right of the petitioner to meet his grandson was acknowledged for the welfare and upbringing of the minor. Respondents No.5 to 7 never allowed the petitioner to meet the minor grandson Navroj Singh.

Petitioner got a legal notice issued to the private respondents in furtherance of a right given to him in the compromise. Petitioner has also submitted a detailed representation to respondent No.3 on 19.11.2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents, as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to decide the representation of the petitioner without being influenced by any statement of fact, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 26, 2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No