

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:28.07.2021

1. CRM-M No.27890 of 2021

Bhim Singh	Petitioner
Vs	
State of Punjab	Respondent

2. CRM-M No.28059 of 2021

Monu	Petitioner
Vs	
State of Punjab	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Neeraj Madan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Both the cases have been taken up for hearing through
video conferencing.

Vide this common order CRM-M No.27890 of 2021 &
CRM-M No.28059 of 2021 are being disposed of. Since both the
cases have arisen from one FIR, therefore, facts are being
culled out from CRM-M No.27890 of 2021.

Petitioner(s) seeks grant of regular bail under Section
439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.96 dated 29.04.2021,

registered under Sections 323, 341, 379-B, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

The FIR was registered at the instance of Gangandeep Singh. The complainant stated that on 28.04.2021 at about 8:30 PM after closing the shop he was going to his house on a scooter. When he reached near DAV college he was intercepted by Bhima armed with Kapa, Veena Rani armed with kirch and Monu armed with wooden stick. They asked for handing over the belongings, when the complainant resisted, Bhima gave a kapa blow on the left side of his forehead from reverse side. Veena Rani gave kirch blow on the left side of his forehead. Monu gave a wooden stick blow on his right knee. Monu again gave a wooden stick blow on his right wrist. Bhima again gave a kapa blow on his left hand. Thereafter, complainant fell down and all the accused persons gave further beatings to him. The accused also snatched an amount of Rs.22,000/- from the pocket of the complainant along with a gold chain weighing 20 grams. Bhima was arrested on 06.05.2021 and Monu was arrested on 01.05.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite Police remand, the Police could not recover anything incriminatory except kapa from Bhima and wooden stick from Monu. No recovery of any amount or gold chain has been

effected from either of the accused persons.

Learned State counsel opposed the bail on the ground that the weapons of offence have been effected from the petitioner(s). Challan stands presented but charges have not been framed. Co-accused Veena Rani has been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 18.06.2021 passed in CRM-M No.22746 of 2021.

Looking to the aforesaid facts and in view of the situation arising out due to COVID-19 pandemic and without adverting to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge both the petitioners on regular bail.

In view of above, petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to their furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

28.07.2021

Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No