

207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-1367-2021
Date of decision: 30.07.2021

URMILA DEVI

...Petitioner

Versus

MAHAVIR SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondents for intentionally and willfully, violating orders (Annexure P/1) dated 09.10.2020 in CWP No.16611 of 2020.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure P/1 dated 09.10.2020, CWP No.16611 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice dated 05.04.2020 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and now the respondents have passed order dated 29.07.2021 granting the relief prayed for by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 05.04.2020, therefore the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the

parties.

6. In view of order dated 29.07.2021 having been passed, though belatedly, accepting the claim of the petitioner in terms of legal notice dated 05.04.2020, there is sufficient compliance with order dated 09.10.2020 in CWP No. 16611 of 2020, therefore, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is warranted against the respondents.

7. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.

8. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.07.2021

'ps'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>