

130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6409 of 2021

Date of Decision:13.07.2021

**SAMREET KAUR AND ANOTHER Petitioners
Vs**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. R.S Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.4 and 5.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 07.07.2021 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners

have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran/respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 08.07.2021(through courier).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant submits that respondents No.4 and 5 being parents of petitioner No.1, are concerned with her well-being and precisely for that reason they intend to interact with petitioner No.1 before

respondent No.2 at the time of consideration of the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners.

In view of the prayer made by respondents No.4 and 5, respondent No.2 would also provide necessary opportunity to the private respondents in interaction with respondent No.1. Respondent No.2 shall ensure that no harm is caused to respondent No.1 at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 at the time of interaction. Respondent No.2 would be free to assess the situation thereafter, in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

13.07.2021

Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No