

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6394 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.07.2021

Vandna and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1– Vandna, aged about 21 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Gurmeet, aged about 26 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 9, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that the petitioners had submitted a written representation to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, dated 08.07.2021 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P5 without evoking any response so far.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, to look into the representation Annexure P-5, assess threat perception to the petitioners and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

12.07.2021
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No