

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-27448 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 16, 2021

Rajat

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.105 dated 23.06.2021, registered under Sections 452, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 8 of POCSO Act at Police Station Women, Yamuna Nagar.
2. Counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the allegations as set out in the FIR are not sustainable, as the same are concocted one. It is submitted that the petitioner and the prosecutrix were friends and the family members of the prosecutrix were against their friendship, as such, this false case has been registered against him. It is argued that the petitioner is not involved in the alleged crime in any manner.
3. Notice of motion.

4. At this stage, Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG Haryana, who is appearing through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Similarly, Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

5. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State as well as complainant oppose the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, while contending that there are serious allegations that have been levelled against the petitioner. It is argued that the petitioner herein was harassing the minor daughter of the complainant.

6. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the pleadings of the case

7. The petitioner, who is aged 22 years, was harassing the minor daughter of the complainant. On the intervening night of 22/23.06.2021 at around 1.00 a.m., the petitioner herein had entered the house of the complainant and caught hold the hand of the minor prosecutrix with the bad intention and started teasing her. When the prosecutrix raised hue and cry, the complainant and his wife woke up and the petitioner ran away from the spot. There are serious allegations that have been levelled against the petitioner herein. Under these circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the instant petition is hereby dismissed.

July 16, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No