

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12487-2021

Date of decision : 13.07.2021

Manpreet Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds on account of death of her mother, Baljinder Kaur, in view of policy dated 21.11.2002 (P-2), along with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that at this stage, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 30.04.2021 (P-10) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf

of the respondents. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 30.04.2021 (P-10), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of four months from today.

13.07.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No