

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6485-2021

Date of Decision: 14.07.2021

Lokesh and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus by way of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 for providing protection to their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from private respondents No.4 to 13, who are opposing their marriage.

Briefly, the facts pleaded in the writ petition are that the petitioners are major, who fell in love with each other and developed relations, therefore, they decided to marry. In this regard, they talked to their respective family members, but their proposal was opposed by private respondents. As a result of that, they performed marriage on 07.07.2021 at Arya Samaj Mandir Trust, Faridabad against the wishes of private respondents. After their marriage, the petitioners are receiving serious threats to their life and liberty from family members of petitioner No.2 and in this regard, they submitted a representation dated 08.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, District Faridabad. It is prayed that the

petitioners be provided protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that though the proposal of marriage was discussed with the private respondents, but they remained adamant on their decision and turned down the request of the petitioners and faced with the situation, they had no alternative, but to perform marriage against their wishes. He submits that though the representation has been given to respondent No.2, but till date no protection has been provided to the petitioners, therefore, necessary directions be issued for providing protection to them.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the petitioners have solemnized marriage on 07.07.2021 at Arya Samaj Mandir Trust, Faridabad and on the next day, they had sent representation (Annexure P-4) to Superintendent of Police, District Faridabad and filed this petition. In the petition, neither any specific threat or allegation has been attributed to any of the respondents nor any convincing material has been brought on record to substantiate the ground. Admittedly, the private respondents were opposing the alliance of the petitioners, but previously in this regard, no complaint was ever made to the police by the petitioners, against private respondents No.4 to 13.

The sequence of events pleaded by the petitioners do not seem to be probable, therefore, the prayer made by the learned counsel for issuance of directions to Superintendent of Police, District Faridabad to look into their representation is also not worth acceptance, as their representation also lacks necessary particulars regarding manner and mode of alleged threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra

ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

14.07.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes No
Yes No