

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-12405-2021

Date of Decision : 16.11.2021

Vinod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. G.S.Gopera, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to re-engage the petitioners as Homeguards, against vacant posts etc.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioners have served legal notice dated 04.02.2021 (Annexure P-2) upon the respondents, qua grievances which are the subject matter of writ petition, but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondents No.3 and 4 to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice dated 04.02.2021 (Annexure P-2), in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.3 and 4 only.

4. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has

no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondents No. 3 and 4 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/2 dated 04.02.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.11.2021
anju

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No