

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

**TA-586-2021(O&M) &
TA-592-2021 (O&M)
Date of Order: 04.10.2021**

REKHA DAHIYA

..Petitioner

Versus

ROHIT SHEORAN

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing
on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Through these two applications, the wife of the respondent
prays for transfer of the proceedings in the following cases:-

- i) Petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act,
1955, bearing case No.HMA/1972/2020, titled as “Rohit
Sheoran Vs. Rekha”, pending in the Court of Principal
Judge, Family Court, Karnal to the Court of competent
jurisdiction at Sonapat and
- ii) Petition under Section 7, 10, 12, 17 & 25 of the Guardian
& Wards Act with Sections 6 & 13 of the Hindu Minority
and Guardianship Act, bearing case No.GW/33/2020,
titled as “Rohit Sheoran Vs. Rekha” pending in the Court
of Principal Judge, Family Court, Karnal, to the Court of
competent jurisdiction at Sonapat.

In para 9 of the petition, the petitioner has pleaded that she is

working in DAV PPS, Madhuban (Karnal). The learned counsel representing the respondent has produced a copy of the order passed by the Family Court, Karnal, which reads to the following effect:-

“Both the parties have appeared and have made a joint statement in writing that they have amicably resolved that the petitioner-Rohit, his mother and brother-Rahul shall be entitled to meet their minor son 'T' (name withheld to protect identity) on the first, third and fifth Saturdays of every English Calendar Month from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM at Savoy Greens, Karnal. They have prayed that the application for interim custody be disposed accordingly. In view of the above statement, the application for interim custody stands disposed. Both the parties shall remain bound by the above statement.

2. At this stage, the learned counsel for the parties have submitted that there is likelihood of a compromise between the parties and one week's time be granted for the same. On the request of learned counsel for the parties, to come up on 19.08.2021 for compromise.

Thereafter, the case shall be listed for evidence of the petitioner, if required. ”

Since, the petitioner is working in Karnal where the cases are pending, therefore, no ground to transfer the proceedings is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected case.

October 04, 2021

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No