

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.103

CRM-M No.26690 of 2021

Date of Decision: 02.09.2021

Jatinder Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Gourav Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has joined the proceedings in pursuance of the copy of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to press the relief of anticipatory bail as prayed for in this petition and rather, he will surrender before learned trial Court and will move an application for seeking regular bail and he prays that the trial Court be directed to decide the said application within some specific time frame and the present petition be disposed of accordingly.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed as having not been pressed, with a direction that in case the petitioner surrenders

.....

before the trial Court and moves an application for seeking regular bail, the same be decided in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of this matter, preferably within a period of ten days.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

02.09.2021
neetu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>