

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12352-2021

Date of decision : 12.07.2021

Poonam Rani

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to select and appoint the petitioner on the post of Head Teacher in Backward Class category against the advertisement dated 08.03.2019 (Annexure P-2).

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, instead of pressing the present petition on merits, states that his client would be satisfied in case, representation dated 15.05.2021 (P-5) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG,

Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf

of the respondents. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 15.05.2021 (P-5), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order on or before 31.07.2021.

12.07.2021*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
-------------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----