

CRM-M-21662-2020
Date of decision-07.12.2021

Admittedly, the petitioner was in India at that time and left for Saudi Arabia on 18.11.2014 and after his return on 15.03.2020, the present petition has been filed. The sole ground raised and argued to

challenge the order that he was abroad is not acceptable. Concededly, the other co-accused of the petitioner have been convicted vide judgment dated 14.03.2014 (except the another co-accused-Yunus, who was also declared proclaimed offender, but stands acquitted of the charges), whereas two others, namely, Kalu @ Jafu and Mobin are still proclaimed offenders.

The argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that the name of the petitioner was shown as Robin in the notice of proclamation i.e. his nick name, is also without any merit as in the present memo of parties, he has mentioned his name as Umardin Jaan Mohd @ Robin. Neither the notice of proclamation nor the place of residence, where it was affixed has been disputed by the petitioner, therefore, this Court is not inclined to exercise the inherent powers after a long delay.

Resultantly, petition fails and dismissed.

07.12.2021

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No